

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL HUFTILE,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-05-0171 GEB KJM P

vs.

SACRAMENTO COUNTY BOARD OF  
SUPERVISORS, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

Plaintiff has requested the appointment of counsel. The United States Supreme Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in § 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In certain exceptional circumstances, the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel will therefore be denied.

////

////

////

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's June 14, 2007 motion for the appointment of counsel is denied.

DATED: June 25, 2007.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

l/mp  
huft0171.31